

THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT  
219 South Dearborn Street  
Chicago, Illinois 60604

May 1, 2020

Chief Judge Diane P. Wood

No. 07-20-90051

IN RE COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

MEMORANDUM

Complainant has filed a judicial misconduct complaint against the judge who dismissed twenty-two previous complaints of judicial misconduct that she filed against various judges (07-20-90021 through 07-20-90042). The complaint is that the order dismissing these complaints is erroneous and unlawful. The complaint does not present sufficient evidence that misconduct has occurred and the judge's dismissal is an official action. Any complaint that is "directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling" must be dismissed. 28 U.S.C. §352(b)(1)(A)(ii). See also Rule 11(c)(1)(B) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings. "Any allegation that calls into question the correctness of an official action of a judge...is merits related." Standard 2 for Assessing Compliance with the Act, *Implementation of the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980: A Report to the Chief Justice* 145 (2006). The complaint is dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §352(b)(1)(A)(ii) and (iii).

Complainant's previous complaints were dismissed on April 17, 2020 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §352(b)(1)(A)(ii) and (iii). The judge admonished the complainant that repetitive filing of insubstantial judicial misconduct complaints is an abuse of the provisions of the Judicial Conduct and Judicial Disability Act of 1980, 28 U.S.C. §351-364, and that, if she continued, the Seventh Circuit Judicial Council may require her to post a \$1000.00 bond before being allowed to file any further complaints, *see* Rule 10(a) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings. It appears that time has come.

Complainant has until June 10, 2020 to respond as to why she should not be required to post a \$1000.00 bond with any future complaint which would be returned if the complaint is not frivolous.