

THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT
219 South Dearborn Street
Chicago, Illinois 60604

April 28, 2017

Chief Judge Diane P. Wood

Nos. 07-17-90013 and 90014

IN RE COMPLAINTS AGAINST TWO JUDGES

MEMORANDUM

Complainant has filed a complaint about two judges. There are many allegations about both judges. But the main allegation about one judge is that he was without authority to rule in the cases assigned to him. The main allegations against the other judge are that he allowed the first judge to be assigned to the cases and that he signed an order restricting complainant's filings. The complainant believes that there have been violations of consent decrees going back more than 40 years and has filed multitudinous pleadings.

Any complaint such as these that are "directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling" must be dismissed. 28 U.S.C. §352(b)(1)(A)(ii). *See also* Rule 11(c)(1)(B) of the Rules for Judicial-Conduct and Judicial-Disability Proceedings. "Any allegation that calls into question the correctness of an official action of a judge...is merits related." Standard 2 for Assessing Compliance with the Act, *Implementation of the Judicial Conduct and Disability Act of 1980: A Report to the Chief Justice* 145 (2006). These complaints are dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii).

Complainant is not a stranger to the process for filing complaints of judicial misconduct. He has filed three earlier complaints, all of which have been dismissed. Two of the complaints were against the same two judges named in these complaints and one complaint named the same two judges plus myself. All were dismissed.

Repetitive filing of insubstantial judicial misconduct complaints is an abuse of the provisions of the Judicial Conduct and Disabilities Act of 1980, 28 U.S.C. §§351-364. If complainant continues in this manner, the Seventh Circuit Judicial Council may require complainant to post a \$1,000 bond before any future complaints are reviewed.