## THE JUDICIAL COUNCIL OF THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT

219 South Dearborn Street Chicago, Illinois 60604

January 23, 2013

Frank H. Easterbrook Chief Judge

No. 07-13-90007

IN RE COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDICIAL OFFICER

## **MEMORANDUM**

Complainant is the defendant in a pending criminal prosecution. His two earlier complaints (Nos. 07-12-90085 and 07-13-90001) were dismissed on the authority of 28 U.S.C. §352(b)(1)(A)(ii), which provides that any complaint "directly related to the merits of a decision or procedural ruling" must be dismissed.

The current complaint, like the two earlier ones, expresses disagreement with an adverse decision. This time complainant parses a judicial opinion, quotes some of its language, and asserts that the judge is lying and should be criminally prosecuted under 18 U.S.C. §1001. Such a complaint is covered by §352(b)(1)(A)(ii).

My orders dismissing the two earlier complaints informed complainant about §352(b)(1)(A)(ii). The most recent order added that any future complaint that does not make a serious effort to explain how it is consistent with §352(b)(1)(A)(ii) would be dismissed summarily, and that I would order him to show cause why the Judicial Council should not take steps to address his misuse of the statutory procedures. The current complaint does not mention §352(b)(1)(A)(ii). It is now evident that complainant is unable or unwilling to respect the limits imposed by §352(b)(1)(A)(ii). The current complaint therefore is dismissed, and complainant has 14 days to show cause why the Council should not curtail his abuse of the statutory procedures.